

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/792,203	03/03/2004	Bernarr C. Schaeffer		4198
7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER		
Joseph B. Taphorn 8 SENIC DRIVE; HAGAN FARMS			FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
	SIE, NY 12603-5521		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	

DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(i)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/792,203	SCHAEFFER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leonid M. Fastovsky	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AN	·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133):					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>1ay 2005</u> .	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are allowed.	_						
	Claim(s) <u>7,8,19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) 11-18,20 and 21 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	Office Action or form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	•						
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	•						
application from the International Burea		received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	received.					
	·						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 10, lines 20-21 resistance heaters 72 and 74 are cited, but on page 11, lines 17 flat wiring or bars 72 and 74 are cited, line 27 infrared heaters 72 and 74 are cited.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 8, 10-18 and 20-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: they cite articles "a' or "an" in line 1 instead of "the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hochstein (5,649,972).

Hochstein discloses an apparatus 10 comprising a finned infrared source 42 comprising a base 44 to be inherently heated to uncomfortable to touch high temperature (Fig. 2-4, col. 5, lines 22-45) and fins-protrusions 70 fabricated from a material having low thermal conductivity and therefore inherently comfortable to touch even though the base 44 is at uncomfortable temperature.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,203

Art Unit: 3742

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Park.

Park discloses an infrared sauna 10 for causing a user to sweat by direct infrared absorption on several sides by the infrared generating means 70 (Fig. 1-5) and meets the limitations of the claim.

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hjortsberg (4,908,497) in view of Langensiepen (1,720,334).

Hortsberg discloses two electric heating elements 1 and 2 that adhered to a plastic inherently electrically insulating film-substrate (col. 1, lines 5-20) that can be used in heaters where the device is brought into proximity with the human body and current flows in opposite directions (Fig. 1-4, col. 2, lines 51-61). However, he does not teach an infrared heater. Langensiepen discloses infrared heater comprised of two sets of parallel electrically resistive bars "a", the corresponding bars being juxtaposed (Fig. 3) and electric conductors "d" interconnecting corresponding ends of the bars. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hjorsberg's invention to include the infrared heater as taught by Langensiepen as an obvious functional equivalent and have the heater powered by alternating current that produces little or no

external electromagnetic fields (col. 2, lines 51-61) that occurs when applying 180 degrees out of phase electrical current.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hochstein.

Hochstein discloses substantially the claimed invention, but does not disclose how far fins are separated. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Hochstein's invention to have fins separated by less than a finger width in order to prevent the user from being hurt by heat when he gets in contact with the heater.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 11-18 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-8 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

ROBIN O. EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER

8/22/05